IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Eric J. Jennings,

C/A No. 2:21-cv-3909-JFA-MGB

Plaintiff.

v.

Clarendon County Detention Center,

Defendants.

ORDER

Eric J. Jennings ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se, filed this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging violations of his constitutional rights. The Complaint has been filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915; § 1915A. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), the case was referred to the Magistrate Judge for initial review.

After performing an initial review of the complaint pursuant to the procedural provisions of the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), Pub. L. No. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321 (1996), including 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Magistrate Judge assigned to this action issued an order informing Plaintiff that his complaint contained several deficiencies as drafted and providing him with time to file an amended complaint to correct those deficiencies. (ECF No. 5).

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d) (D.S.C.). The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976).

After Plaintiff failed to respond, the Magistrate Judge prepared a thorough Report and Recommendation ("Report"). (ECF No. 12). Within the Report, the Magistrate Judge opines the Complaint fails to state a viable claim and is subject to summary dismissal without prejudice and without issuance and service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).² *Id.* The Report sets forth, in detail, the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and this Court incorporates those facts and standards without a recitation.

Plaintiff was advised of his right to object to the Report, which was entered on the docket on October 13, 2022. *Id.* The Magistrate Judge required Plaintiff to file objections by October 27, 2022. *Id.* Plaintiff failed to file objections or otherwise address the deficiencies in his complaint. Thus, this matter is ripe for review.

A district court is only required to conduct a *de novo* review of the specific portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which an objection is made. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *Carniewski v. W. Virginia Bd. of Prob. & Parole*, 974 F.2d 1330 (4th Cir. 1992). In the absence of specific objections to portions of the Magistrate's Report, this Court is not required to give an explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Here, Plaintiff has failed to raise any objections and therefore this Court is not required to give an explanation for adopting the recommendation. A review of the Report and prior orders indicates that the Magistrate Judge correctly concluded that Plaintiff's

² To protect against possible abuses, this statute allows a district court to dismiss certain cases upon a finding that the action fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted or is frivolous or malicious.

2:21-cv-03909-JFA Date Filed 11/21/22 Entry Number 15 Page 3 of 3

Complaint is subject to summary dismissal for failure to state a claim upon which relief

can be granted.

After carefully reviewing the applicable laws, the record in this case, and the Report,

this Court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation fairly and accurately summarizes

the facts and applies the correct principles of law. Accordingly, this Court adopts the

Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by reference.

(ECF No. 12). Consequently, this action is summarily dismissed without prejudice and

without further leave to amend, as he has already had an opportunity to do so. See Britt v.

DeJoy, 45 F.4th 790, 798 (4th Cir. 2022).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 21, 2022

Columbia, South Carolina

Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.

Joseph F. anderson, g.

United States District Judge

3